DUALLY CLASSIFIED STUDENTS

Dually classified learners are students that are both classified as dual language learners (DLLs) and with having a disability. DLLs, also known as English language learners or emergent bilinguals, are students that speak a native language other than English and are in the process of developing English as a second or multiple language. Dually classified students receive dual service for supporting their English development and for their identified disability. Approximately 14.7% of DLLs in public schools are dually classified with a disability¹.

Key myths exist regarding dually classified students, including the following:

- Students cannot receive both English development support services and special education services at the same time.
- Special education services are so individualized they encompass the supports DLLs need.
- DLLs that are not performing academically at par with their classmates should be considered for special education services.
- DLLs are under-identified in special education.
- Even if a dual language learner is accidentally misclassified with a disability, they will only benefit from the extra supports.

What we know about dually classified students:

- Having “no dual services” policies in districts and schools is illegal². Dually classified students are entitled to receive services for English language development and special education services if needed.
- Special education does not encompass both language and special education supports. In fact, for many dually classified students, their special education services eclipse their English development services when they are entitled to receive both services.³
- DLLs that are struggling academically should not be compared to their classmates, but rather to their typical peers. In this case, their typical peers would be other DLLs at an equivalent English proficiency level.
- Identifying DLLs for a possible disability is a slippery slope. If they are incorrectly identified with a disability they face the possibility of more restrictive learning environments and therefore more segregated from their peers and have less access to the general education curriculum. On the other hand, if a dual language learner does indeed have a disability, but identification is stalled due to their dual language learner status, they miss out on the benefits of early identification interventions.

• DLLs may appear to be under-identified at the national level, but there are many ways to slice a cake! Different units of analysis are beginning to unravel more nuanced and complicated understandings of disproportionality\textsuperscript{4}. For example, over-representation may occur at state-levels, in locales (suburban vs. rural), according to language programs students have had access to\textsuperscript{5} (dual language vs. English only), and implementation of culturally responsive tiered intervention systems\textsuperscript{6}.

• DLLs tend to be under-identified with disabilities in the primary grades and over-identified in the middle grades\textsuperscript{7}.

• Over-representation tends to occur in high-incidence disability categories such as learning disabilities and speech and language impairment rather than medically-diagnosed low-incidence disabilities\textsuperscript{8}.

**KEY TAKEAWAY:** We know that dually classified students need both language learning supports and special education supports, however these services are often inexistent or uncoordinated. Further, identifying DLLs with disabilities is a slippery slope. If correctly identified, early intervention services can support dually classified students. If incorrectly identified, special education services can result in unintended inequities.
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